

ENQUIRY

CONTRACT NOTICE

Enquiry 2 – January 5th 2021

II.1.1) Contract Notice Title:

Supply of a new CT Scanner Device for Salam Centre Khartoum - SUDAN

II.1.1) Contract Notice Reference Number:

84794095AA

Question 1

Tube & generator rating

As you know there is a real physical value for the machine and a virtual equivalent value using software's. We would like the to have a clear picture regarding these values ad what is your perception of this point.

Reply 1

- The tender seeks for a semi-advanced CT scanner. For this purpose, a detector should have 32 physical elements for 32 physical slices acquisition (with iterative reconstruction, the system should perform as a 64 slices - virtual equivalence). The Tube Power Rating will be able to attain this performance given the Generator Power Range indicated in Annex III part 2 and the physical characteristic of the tube assembly (Heat Storage capacity and Heat dissipation Rate).

Question 2

- We would like that what are actual needs for the device and what are the expected type of examinations, because all the required spec's go beyond the routine, but do not rise to the level of advanced examinations such as (Cardiac Imaging), and here I refer to the minimum required detector coverage.
- Another point here is that the specs do not much with the budget. From our prospective the budget is really low for a semi-Advance machine that will perform exactly as a routine machine adding no advanced value.

Reply 2

- We are looking for a machine capable of easily carrying out routine activities (such as diagnosing cerebral ischemias, effusions, etc.), capable of performing 3D vascular reconstructions and if it were possible to reach a Cardiac Image level it would be excellent thinking about a possible evolution of the admission criteria of Hospital.
- We confirm that the maximum available budget is the one stated in the contract notice point II.1.5) Estimated total value

Question 3

Evaluation process

- As you know we participated in the previous tender, but we were surprised that the winning company offered lower spec's than required. Because from our humble point of view, we saw that we presented specifications that were the closest to the required spec's with the model we offered, despite that we had options with lower specifications than the winner's offer.

Reply 3

- The award criterion is the best price/quality ratio. If a system is compliant, the best choice might not be the one with the highest specification rather the one that mixes the best combination of requirements expressed in the evaluation criteria